

A FAMILY OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WHOSE SPECTRUM IS AN INTERVAL

HELGE KRÜGER

ABSTRACT. By approximation, I show that the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator with potential $V(n) = f(n^\rho \pmod{1})$ for f continuous and $\rho > 0$, $\rho \notin \mathbb{N}$ is an interval.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this short note, I wish to describe a family of Schrödinger operators on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ whose spectrum is an interval. To set the stage introduce for a bounded sequence $V : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$ the Schrödinger operator H_V defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (H_V u)(n) &= u(n+1) + u(n-1) + V(n)u(n) & n \geq 2 \\ (H_V u)(1) &= u(2) + V(1)u(1). \end{aligned}$$

We will denote by $\sigma(V)$ the spectrum of the operator H_V .

It is well known that if $V(n)$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with distribution μ satisfying $\text{supp}(\mu) = [a, b]$, we have that for almost every V the spectrum $\sigma(V)$ is $[a-2, b+2]$. For the Almost–Mathieu Operator with potential

$$(1.2) \quad V_{\lambda, \alpha, \theta}(n) = 2\lambda \cos(2\pi(n\alpha + \theta)),$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the set $\sigma(V_{\lambda, \alpha, \theta})$ contains no interval [2].

Bourgain conjectured in [4], that if one considers the potential

$$(1.3) \quad V_{\lambda, \alpha, x, y}(n) = \lambda \cos\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\alpha + nx + y\right)$$

with $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the spectrum $\sigma(V_{\lambda, \alpha, x, y})$ is an interval.

Denote by $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the circle. I will prove the following result

Theorem 1.1. *For any continuous function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, any $\alpha \neq 0$, θ , and $\rho > 0$ not an integer, introduce the potential*

$$(1.4) \quad V(n) = f(\alpha n^\rho + \theta).$$

Then we have that

$$(1.5) \quad \sigma(V) = [\min(f) - 2, \max(f) + 2].$$

Date: October 17, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 81Q10; Secondary 47B36.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger Operators, Spectrum.

H. K. was supported by NSF grant DMS-0800100.

Potentials of the type (1.4), where already discussed in Bourgain [3], Griniasty–Fishman [6], Last–Simon [7], and Stolz [8]. In particular, the case $0 < \rho < 1$ is due to Stolz [8] under an additional regularity assumption on f . The proof of this theorem depends essentially on the following lemma on the distribution of n^ρ , which is a consequence of a result of Boshernitzan [5].

Lemma 1.2. *Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer and $r < \rho < r + 1$. Given any $\alpha \neq 0$, θ , $K \geq 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $a_0, \dots, a_r$, there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that*

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{|k| \leq K} \|\alpha(n+k)^\rho + \theta - \sum_{j=0}^r a_j k^j\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

where $\|x\| = \text{dist}(x, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the distance to the closest integer.

We will prove this lemma in the next section. $\|\cdot\|$ is not a norm, but it obeys the triangle inequality

$$(1.7) \quad \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular for any integer N , we have that $\|Nx\| \leq |N|\|x\|$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 1.2, we can find for any $x \in [0, 1)$ a sequence n_l such that

$$\sup_{|k| \leq l} \|\alpha(n_l + k)^\rho + \theta - x\| \leq 1/l.$$

Hence, the sequence $V_l(n) = V(n - n_l)$ converges pointwise to $f(x)$. The claim now follows from a Weyl–sequence argument. $\square$

It is remarkable that combined with the Last–Simon semicontinuity of the absolutely continuous spectrum [7], one also obtains the following result

Theorem 1.3. *For $r \geq 0$ an integer, $r < \rho < r + 1$, and f a continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$, introduce the set $\mathcal{B}_r(f)$ as*

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{B}_r(f) = \bigcap_{a_0, \dots, a_r} \sigma_{\text{ac}}(f(\sum_{j=0}^r a_j n^j)).$$

Then for $\alpha \neq 0$ and any θ

$$(1.9) \quad \sigma_{\text{ac}}(f(\alpha n^\rho + \theta)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_r(f).$$

Here $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(V)$ denotes the absolutely continuous spectrum of H_V .

We note that for $r = 0$, we have that

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{B}_0(f) = [-2 + \max(f), 2 - \min(f)].$$

Under additional regularity assumptions on f and $r = 0$, Stolz has shown in [8] that we have equality in (1.9).

Furthermore note that

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{B}_{r+1}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_r(f).$$

In [7], Last and Simon have stated the following conjecture

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{B}_1(2\lambda \cos(2\pi \cdot)) = \emptyset$$

for $\lambda > 0$. They phrased this in poetic terms as '*Does Hofstadter's Butterfly have wings?*'. The best positive result in this direction as far as I know, is by Bourgain [3] showing

$$(1.13) \quad |\mathcal{B}_1(2\lambda \cos(2\pi \cdot))| \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

It is an interesting question if Theorem 1.1 holds for $\rho \geq 2$ an integer. In the particular case of $f(x) = 2\lambda \cos(2\pi x)$, $\rho = 2$, this would follow from Bourgain's conjecture. However, there is also the following negative evidence. Consider the skew-shift $T : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ given by

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} T(x, y) &= (x + \alpha, x + y), \\ T^n(x, y) &= (x + n\alpha, \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\alpha + nx + y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Then Avila, Bochi, and Damanik [1] have shown that for generic continuous $f : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the spectrum $\sigma(f(T^n(x, y)))$ contains no interval. So, it is not clear what to expect in this case.

As a final remark, let me comment on a slight extension. If one replaces V by the following family of potentials

$$V(n) = f(\alpha n^\rho + \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k n^{\beta_k}),$$

where $\beta_k < \rho$ and α_k are any numbers, then Theorem 1.1 and 1.3 remain valid.

2. PROOF OF LEMMA 1.2

Let in the following r be an integer such that $r < \rho < r+1$. By Taylor expansion, we have that

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha(n+k)^\rho = \sum_{j=0}^r x_j(n) k^j + \alpha \frac{\rho \cdots (\rho-r)}{(r+1)!} (n+\xi)^{\rho-r-1} k^{r+1}$$

for some $|\xi| \leq k$ and

$$(2.2) \quad x_j(n) = \alpha \frac{\rho \cdots (\rho-j+1)}{j!} n^{\rho-j}.$$

We now first note the following lemma

Lemma 2.1. *For any $K \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N_0(K, \varepsilon)$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad |\alpha(n+k)^\rho - \sum_{j=0}^r x_j(n) k^j| \leq \varepsilon$$

for $|k| \leq K$ and $n \geq N_0(K, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. This follows from (2.1) and that $\rho - r - 1 < 0$. □

A sequence $x(n)$ is called uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{T}^{r+1}$ if for any $0 \leq a_j < b_j \leq 1$, $j = 0, \dots, r$ we have that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{1 \leq k \leq n : x_j(k) \in (a_j, b_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, r\} = \prod_{j=0}^r (b_j - a_j).$$

If $x(n)$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$, we can view it as a sequence in $\mathbb{T}^{r+1}$ by considering $x(n) \pmod{1}$, and call it uniformly distributed if $x(n) \pmod{1}$ is. We need the following consequence of Theorem 1.8 in [5].

Theorem 2.2 (Boshernitzan). *Let $(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ be functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of subpolynomial growth, that is, there is an integer N such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_j(x)x^{-N} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s.$$

Then the following two conditions are equivalent

- (i) *The sequence $\{f_1(n), \dots, f_s(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{T}^s$.*
- (ii) *For any $(m_1, \dots, m_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s \setminus \{0\}$, and for every polynomial $p(x)$ with rational coefficients, we have that*

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^s m_j f_j(x) - p(x)}{\log(x)} = \pm \infty.$$

We will use the following consequence of this theorem

Lemma 2.3. *The sequence*

$$(2.7) \quad x(n) = (x_0(n) \quad \dots \quad x_r(n))$$

is uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{T}^{r+1}$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that for any polynomial $p(n)$ and integer vector $(m_0, \dots, m_r)$ we have that

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^r m_j x_j(n) - p(n) \right|$$

grows at least like $n^{\rho-r}$, which grows faster than $\log(n)$. □

Now we come to

Proof of Lemma 1.2. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an N_0 such that

$$\|\alpha(n+k)^\rho - \sum_{j=0}^r x_j(n)k^j\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for any $n \geq N_0$ and $|k| \leq K$. By Lemma 2.3, we can now find $n \geq N_0$ such that

$$\|x_l(n) - \tilde{a}_l\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(r+1)K^l}, \quad l = 0, \dots, r,$$

where $\tilde{a}_0 = a_0 - \theta$ and $\tilde{a}_l = a_l$, $l \geq 1$. For $|k| \leq K$, we now have that using (1.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\alpha(n+k)^\rho + \theta - \sum_{j=0}^r a_j k^j\| \\
 & \leq \|\alpha(n+k)^\rho - \sum_{j=0}^r x_j(n) k^j\| + \|\sum_{j=0}^r x_j(n) k^j - \sum_{j=0}^r a_j k^j + \theta\| \\
 (2.8) \quad & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j=0}^r \|(x_j(n) - \tilde{a}_j) k^j\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j=0}^r |k^j| \|x_j(n) - \tilde{a}_j\| \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j=0}^r K^j \frac{\varepsilon}{2(r+1)K^j} = \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of $\tilde{a}_l$ in (2.8), and that k is an integer in (2.9). This finishes the proof. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to J. Chaika, D. Damanik, and A. Metelkina for useful discussions, and the referees for many useful suggestions on how to improve the presentation.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Avila, J. Bochi, D. Damanik, *Cantor spectrum for Schrödinger operators with potentials arising from generalized skew-shifts*, Duke. Math. J. (to appear).
- [2] A. Avila, S. Jitomirskaya, *The Ten Martini Problem*, Ann. Math. (to appear).
- [3] J. Bourgain, *Positive Lyapounov exponents for most energies*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, 37–66, Lecture Notes in Math. **1745**, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [4] J. Bourgain, *Green's function estimates for lattice Schrödinger operators and applications*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **158**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005. x+173 pp.
- [5] M. Boshernitzan, *Uniform distribution and Hardy fields*, J. Anal. Math. **62**, 225–240 (1994)
- [6] M. Griniasty, S. Fishman, *Localization by pseudorandom potentials in one dimension*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1334–1337 (1988)
- [7] Y. Last, B. Simon, *Eigenfunctions, transfer matrices, and absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators*, Invent. math. **125**, 329–268 (1999).
- [8] G. Stolz, *Spectral theory for slowly oscillating potentials. I. Jacobi matrices*, Manuscripta Math. **84**, no. 3-4, 245–260 (1994).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, HOUSTON, TX 77005, USA
E-mail address: helge.krueger@rice.edu